

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,623	10/22/2003	So Haeng Cho	8733.939.00	4805	
30827	7590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			CHIEN, LUCY P		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	,		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	m		
		10/689,623	CHO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lucy P. Chien	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 S</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-25 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/689,623

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-3,5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norlyama (US 5825439).

Noriyama discloses (regarding claims 1 and 6) a liquid crystal display from which a shorting bar has been removed (disconnection from the shorting bar 211 is discussed in column 9, lines 49-65 is taken a product by process limitation which cannot be determined and therefore not distinguishing over the reference),

a plurality of data lines X1,X2 (figure 2),.

a plurality of gate lines Y1, Y2 (figure 2)

wherein the gate lines cross the data lines to define a plurality of pixel regions (131 and 141 together); thin film transistors 131 and pixel electrodes 141 formed at respective pixel regions,

and at least one pad connected with the plurality of gate lines or the plurality of data lines XCP and CCP,

the pad having at least one edge having grooves therein (figure 3, the sections between the projections 221a, 221b and 221c are grooves).

Wherein the edge (shown in Figure 3) is formed at a cutting line (not shown but there is a cutting line made on the shorting bar), and the edge is on the shorting bar therefore the edge is at a cutting line of the shorting bar (112),

(claim 6 only) and at least one pad connected with the plurality of gate lines or the

Art Unit: 2871

plurality of data lines via a link pattern (the inner portion of the pads connect to the lines, so by definition can be construed as a link pattern).

The reference shows regarding 2, 7 the grooves having an upper boundary and a lower boundary, wherein the lower boundary of the grooves are located spaced apart by a predetermined distance from the at least one edge of the pad (either side can be construed as the upper or lower side, and the inner portion of the groove as some distance from what ever is construed as the edge of the pad, so the limitation is met by definition.

The reference shows regarding 3,8 wherein the grooves are formed at the uppermost portion of the pad (any part can be construed as the uppermost pad of the pattern).

The reference shows regarding 5, 10 wherein the thin film transistor has an active region made of amorphous silicon (column 4, lines 20-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by, or in the

Art Unit: 2871

alternative under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noriyama 5825439.

Lacking from the disclosure regarding 4, 9, the limitation where in the shorting bar has been removed by a scribing wheel passing over the upper boundary of the grooves.

This limitation is a product by process limitation, and as product by process limitations are given weight only in so far they produce a materially different device, this limitation does not distinguish over the reference. It appears that the cutting method would not alter the physical structure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Examiner respectfully appreciates Applicant's Remarks in Paper of 9/30/2005. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant's argument is that "... at least one pad connected with a plurality of gate lines or the plurality of data lines, the pad having at least one edge having grooves therein, wherein the edge is formed at a cutting line of the shorting bar" (claims 1 and 6)." Noriyama does teach this feature shown in Figure 2 and 3. At least one pad connected with the plurality of gate lines or the plurality of data lines XCP and CCP, the pad having at least one edge having grooves therein (figure 3, the sections between the projections 221a, 221b and 221c are grooves). Wherein the edge (shown in Figure 3) is formed at a cutting line (not shown but there is a cutting line made on the shorting bar),

Art Unit: 2871

and the edge is on the shorting bar therefore the edge is at a cutting line of the shorting bar (112).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lucy P. Chien whose telephone number is 571-272-8579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,623 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lucy Chien Examiner Art Unit 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER